

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/463,560	03/21/2000	NIGEL LAMBERT	TPP30852	6480
759	90 08/20/2002			
THOMAS P PAVELKO STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER			EXAMINER	
1615 L STREET NW			PADGETT, MARIANNE L	
SUITE 850 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



UNITED STATES DEPA Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

PAPER NUMBER

attach to

DATE MAILED:

16

attachto PTOL-303 - Advsory Action

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

that since the reactive put could be any precentise by weight greater Then zero of the coating composition, That one does not know (can't determine) from the claim weither "material" is referring to a minimer, or a resin, or some mixture of compands, that contain generic functional georges or acrylate groups is a further complication. On page 4 of the response (3rd paragraph), applicant's say that "all compounds must comprise at hest Three functional acrylate groups", but THERE are NO COMPOUNDS claim Concerning the definition of "functionality" discussed on p. 4 of the response, just non specefic material". from p.8 of the Speafcation. The periodic table 115ts elements (and they don't contain any functional groups, so the "element" in the definition" must be the genoric term, so could mean anything from monomer, resins, compounds arlang grouper mixture thereof, etc., plus the claims discuss generic material not element (S). While "functional group" is not used in the claims, is applicant trying to define it more narrowly than would one of ordinary skell in the art, so that it only include acryliste groups with C=C double binds? If so one wouldn't need to lest "three functional acryleter groups " separately, however "material" from the claims is not necessarily synonomores with "element" from The definition, and it is unlikely that any one reading the statement concerning functional groups of the definition, and it is unlikely that any one reading the claims with only as necessarily referring to in context, would equate all functional material in the claims with only as necessarily referring to in context, would equate all functional material in the claims with only as necessarily referring to in context, would equate ack tunctions marerine to actually what applicants intend, then acrylate groups with C=C bonds. If this is actually what applicants intend, then genoric acrylate confusion can be removed by claiming the specific functional group, rather than genoric to the pro-900 (180v. 2795) amulti-functional material. At present the record is unclear, and applicant would result show support for their allegal compounds discussed on p.4. Show support for their alleged compounds discussed on p 4. **GROUP 1700**



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXA	EXAMINER		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NATE 144# FT.	1/2		

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in